

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

ANTON PADILLA, Plaintiff,	§	CIVIL ACTION NO: 6:17-cv-00266
	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
	§	
ACE CASH EXPRESS, INC., Defendant.	§	JURY DEMANDED

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, ANTON PADILLA, files this Original Complaint against Defendant, ACE CASH EXPRESS, INC., and would respectfully show as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Texas Debt Collection Act, (hereafter "TDCA") and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. §§ 227 *et seq.* (hereafter the "TCPA").

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, ANTON PADILLA (hereafter "Plaintiff") is a Texas resident with his principal place of residence in Temple, Texas.
2. Defendant, ACE CASH EXPRESS, INC. (hereafter "Defendant") is a domestic for-profit corporation with its principal place of business in Texas.
3. Defendant may be served with summons by serving its registered agent for process at the following address:

ACE Cash Express, Inc.
c/o CT Corporation System
1999 Bryan St., Ste. 900
Dallas, Texas 75201

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Jurisdiction and venue for purposes of this action are appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Federal Question Jurisdiction, as this action involves violations of the TCPA.

5. Subject matter jurisdiction, federal question jurisdiction, for purposes of this action, is appropriate and conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; this action involves violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

6. Venue is proper in this District because the Plaintiff resides in this District, the phone calls were received in this District, and Defendant transacts business in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Bell County, Texas and is a consumer as defined by TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.001(1).

8. Defendant is a domestic for-profit corporation registered with the Texas Secretary of State, Division of Corporations.

9. Defendant is a “person” subject to regulations under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

10. The conduct of Defendant, which gives rise to the cause of action herein alleged, occurred in this District, Bell County, Texas, by the Defendant’s placing of telephone calls to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone in an attempt to collect a debt.

11. Defendant, at all material times, was attempting to collect a debt relating to an ACE Cash Express prepaid card.

12. The alleged debt that is the subject matter of this complaint is a “consumer debt” as defined by the TDCA, Tex. Stat. §392.001(2).

13. In or about June, 2016, Plaintiff received a phone call from Defendant to collect a debt. During the telephone call, Plaintiff advised Defendant to stop calling his cell phone and

revoked any prior express consent to contact Plaintiff via cell phone or any other form of communication.

14. Plaintiff is the regular user and carrier of the cellular telephone number ending in -8534 and was the called party and recipient of Defendant's automatic telephone dialing system and/or artificial or prerecorded voice.

15. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully called Plaintiff's cellular telephone after Defendant had unequivocal notice from Plaintiff to cease any and all calls and after Plaintiff withdrew any prior consent or permission to be contacted in or about June, 2016.

16. Defendant knowingly and/or willfully harassed and abused Plaintiff by calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone up to six (6) times per day from June, 2016, through the filing of this complaint.

17. Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or pre-recorded voice to place telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

18. The following phone number, including, but not limited to, 817-591-1143, is the phone number of Defendant used to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

19. Defendant has, or should be in possession and/or control of call logs, account notes, autodialer reports and/or other records that detail the exact number of all calls made to Plaintiff.

20. Defendant placed calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone that included delays in time before the telephone call was transferred to a representative to speak.

21. Plaintiff did not speak with a representative during the some of the phone calls placed by Defendant because there was no representative on the telephone call that spoke or attempted to communicate with Plaintiff after Plaintiff answered the telephone call.

22. Some of the representatives who called Plaintiff's cellular telephone sounded like an artificial or pre-recorded voice.

23. The calls from Defendant to Plaintiff's cellular telephone continued despite Plaintiff expressly revoking his consent.

24. None of Defendant's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were for "emergency purposes" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).

25. None of Defendant's telephone calls placed to Plaintiff were made with Plaintiff's "prior express consent" as specified in 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).

26. All conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit have been performed or have occurred.

COUNT I
VIOLATION OF THE TDCA, TEX. FIN. CODE § 392

27. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations in paragraphs 1-26 as if stated fully herein.

28. Defendant violated the TEX FIN. CODE § 392.302(4) by causing a telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously, or making repeated or continuous telephone calls, with the intent to harass a person at the called number.

29. Specifically, Defendant continued to make numerous telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

30. Defendant's acts, as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

COUNT II
VIOLATION OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)

31. Plaintiff incorporates all allegations in paragraphs 28-30 as if stated fully herein.

32. Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3).

33. Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1)(A)(iii) to make telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone.

34. Defendant independently violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) for each call that Defendant placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice.

35. The phone calls made by Defendant are considered willing and knowing violations of the TCPA, as Defendant is well aware of the TCPA and its prohibitions.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

36. Plaintiff demands a jury trial under the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, ANTON PADILLA, demands judgment against Defendant, ACE CASH EXPRESS, INC., for the following relief:

- a. any actual damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of the above allegations;
- b. statutory damages pursuant to TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.403(e);
- c. pursuant to TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.403(a)(1), injunctive relief of the above violations;
- d. in the case of a successful action sustaining the liability of Defendant, pursuant to the TEX FIN. CODE § 392.403(b), costs of the action, together with reasonable attorney's fees incurred by Plaintiff;
- e. statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) in the amount of \$500.00 for each of the independent violations occurring after Plaintiff's revocation;
- f. an increase in the amount of the award to an amount equal to three times the amount available pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) where each of Defendant's independent violations were made willfully or knowingly; and
- g. any other relief the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: October 3, 2017.

Respectfully submitted,

The Vethan Law Firm, PC

By: /s/ Charles M.R. Vethan
Charles M. R. Vethan
Attorney-in-Charge
Texas Bar No. 00791852

Southern District Bar No: 19566
Email: edocs@vwtexlaw.com

Of Counsel:

The Vethan Law Firm, PC
3501 Allen Parkway
Houston, Texas 77019
Telephone: (713) 526 2222
Telecopier: (713) 526 2230

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF